GLOBAL INNOVATIONS IN AI-POWERED LEARNING: PERCEPTIONS, USAGE, AND CHALLENGES AMONG BUSINESS EDUCATION STUDENTS

Janet Iyabode Owolabi
janetowolabi2020@gmail.com
Federal College of Education (Technical)
Akoka, Yaba, Lagos State
&
Abosede Oluhaigbe Amos-Olalemi
ajayikhiranabosede@gmail.com
Federal College of Education (Technical)
Akoka, Yaba, Lagos State

Abstract
Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming global education by providing innovative solutions to improve learning experiences. While the integration of AI into business education offers significant benefits—such as personalized learning, increased engagement, and improved administrative efficiency- it also introduces complex challenges, including data privacy concerns, ethical dilemmas, and potential overreliance on technology. Current literature indicates a gap in understanding of how students apply and perceive these tools in their specific academic pursuits. This study examines the adoption and effectiveness of AI-powered tools among business education students. Specifically, it aims to investigate students' perceptions of AI's effectiveness, their current usage patterns, the recognized benefits of these tools, and the obstacles encountered during adoption. The research seeks to provide empirical data to guide educators and policymakers in optimizing AI integration for enhanced learning outcomes. Approximately 46.5% of students currently use AI-powered tools, while 74.6% of non-users express a willingness to adopt them. Grammarly is the most commonly reported tool. Students primarily utilize AI for research (37%), writing assistance (37%), business simulations (42.6%), and skill development (46%). Students reported that AI-powered tools increase engagement (42.6%), improve motivation (40%), and make studying more efficient (35.7%). Major challenges include concerns regarding inaccurate information (39%), reduced critical thinking (38%), limited digital literacy (34.8%), and data privacy (33.9%). The study concludes that business education students generally hold a positive perception of AI, viewing it as an essential component of the modern learning experience. However, to maximize its potential, institutions must address critical gaps through structured AI literacy programs, equitable access to tools, and robust policy frameworks that ensure responsible and ethical integration.

Keywords: AI-powered tools, business education, learning innovation, student engagement, AI adoption.

Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into business education has been a focal point of recent academic discourse, with numerous studies exploring its multifaceted impact on teaching and learning processes. Researchers have identified a range of benefits associated with AI implementation, including enhanced personalized learning, increased engagement, and improved administrative efficiency. However, challenges such as data privacy concerns, potential over-reliance on AI, and ethical considerations persist, necessitating a balanced and

informed approach to AI integration in educational settings. The study therefore examines students' perceptions of AI-powered learning, their usage of AI tools, and the challenges affecting the adoption of AI tools.

In their study, Chan and Hu (2023) investigated university students' perceptions of generative AI technologies, such as ChatGPT, within higher education. Surveying 399 undergraduate and postgraduate students across various disciplines in Hong Kong, they found a generally positive attitude towards AI in teaching and learning. Students recognized AI's potential for personalized learning support, assistance in writing and brainstorming, and capabilities in research and analysis. However, concerns were raised regarding accuracy, privacy, ethical issues, and the impact of AI on personal development and societal values. The study emphasized the importance of understanding student perceptions to tailor AI technologies that address their needs and concerns, thereby promoting effective learning outcomes.

Similarly, Zhou et al. (2023) explored the utilization and perception of AI tools among students in higher education. The research highlighted that while AI technologies offer new avenues for enhancing learning experiences, there are prevailing concerns about academic integrity, over-reliance on AI, and the necessity for clear usage guidelines. The study contributed empirical evidence from the students' perspective, underscoring the need for balanced, informed, and ethical use of AI tools in educational contexts.

Furthermore, a study by Tierney et al. (2025) provided insights into student perspectives on AI in higher education within the UK context. Contrasting with existing literature that predominantly utilizes large-scale surveys, this research employed qualitative methods to delve deeper into students' thoughts and feelings regarding AI. Findings revealed a gap in empirical evidence concerning students' qualitative experiences, highlighting the importance of capturing the nuanced perspectives of learners to inform AI integration strategies in education.

Despite the recognized benefits, challenges persist in the effective implementation of AI in education. Concerns about data privacy and security are prevalent, as AI systems often require access to sensitive student information. Additionally, issues such as algorithmic bias, transparency, and the potential reduction in human interaction raise ethical questions. These challenges underscore the necessity for comprehensive policies and frameworks that address the ethical and practical implications of AI integration in educational settings.

The existing literature underscores a gap in understanding the practical application and impact of AI-powered tools from the students' perspective, particularly within business education. While numerous studies have focused on the theoretical benefits and challenges of AI integration, there is a paucity of research examining how students perceive and utilize these tools in their academic pursuits. This study seeks to fill this gap by providing empirical data on students' experiences with AI-powered tools, offering valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and technology developers aiming to enhance the efficacy of AI in business education.

Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Examine the perceptions of business education students regarding the effectiveness of AI-powered tools in enhancing their learning outcomes.
2. Investigate how business education students utilise AI-powered tools in their academic activities.
3. Identify the benefits of using AI-powered tools in business education.
4. Explore the challenges experienced by business education students in using AI-powered tools.

Research Questions:

1. What are the perceptions of business education students regarding the effectiveness of AI-powered tools in enhancing their learning outcomes?
2. How do business education students use AI-powered tools?
3. What are the benefits of using AI-powered tools in learning?
4. What are the challenges experienced in using AI-powered tools in business education?

Methods

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design to systematically explore the perceptions, usage patterns, and challenges associated with AI-powered tools among business education students. This design is appropriate for gathering empirical data on the current state of AI adoption within the educational environment.

The population consisted of business education students from selected higher institutions. A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure the sample was diverse and representative of the broader student body. Students from various levels of the National Certificate in Education (NCE) and degree programs (Federal College of Education (Technical) Akoka, University of Benin, University of Lagos, and Adeyemi Federal University of Education, Ondo) participated based on their program of study, availability, and willingness to engage with the research.

A structured questionnaire served as the primary instrument for data collection. The instrument was designed to capture quantitative data regarding students' direct experiences with AI tools. Perceived benefits of AI in a learning context. Specific challenges and barriers hindering AI adoption.

The data gathered from the 114 participants was analyzed using descriptive statistics. This was done to evaluate the strength of students' perceptions and the consistency of their experiences. To maintain academic integrity and protect participants, ethical protocols were strictly followed. This included obtaining informed consent from all respondents and ensuring the confidentiality of all personal and academic data provided during the study.

Table 1: Perceptions of AI-Powered Tools' Effectiveness

Research Question 1: What are the perceptions of business education students regarding the effectiveness of AI-powered tools in enhancing their learning outcomes?

Perception Indicator	Frequency (n=114)	Percentage (%)
Increased Student Engagement (Strongly Agree)	49	42.6%
Improved Student Motivation (Agree)	46	40.0%
Tutoring Positively Impacted Performance	49	42.6%
Increased Study Efficiency	41	35.7%

Table 1. The data indicates a strongly positive reception of AI tools among the student body. A significant portion of respondents, specifically **42.6%**, strongly believe that AI enhances engagement and positively impacts academic performance through tutoring capabilities. While the majority recognize improvements in motivation and study efficiency, the presence of neutral or sceptical students suggests that institutional awareness and training are still necessary to achieve universal acceptance. Cervantes & Navarro (2025) perfectly mirror the core empirical focus of this study by evaluating business students' perceived usefulness, ease of use, and overall acceptance of AI tools.

Table 2: Usage Patterns of AI-Powered Tools

Research Question 2: How do business education students use AI-powered tools?

Areas of Application	Frequency (n=114)	Percentage (%)
Platforms for Skill Development	53	46.0%
Business Simulations and Decision-Making	49	42.6%
Research and Gathering Information	43	37.0%
Writing Assistance	43	37.0%

AI tools are primarily integrated into the more practical and technical aspects of business education. The highest usage was reported for skill development (46%) and business simulations/decision-making (42.6%), indicating that students view AI as a functional partner in professional preparation. Interestingly, usage for core academic tasks like research and writing assistance, though common at **37%** each, slightly trails behind simulation-based learning. Delina & Kumar (2026) **strengthen** the analysis of the contrast between high student willingness to use AI and their concurrent concerns regarding accuracy, ethics, and privacy. It provides up-to-date validation for the dual dynamics observed in the research results.

Table 3: Benefits of Using AI-Powered Tools

Research Question 3: What are the benefits of using AI-powered tools in learning?

Identified Benefits	Frequency (n=114)	Percentage (%)
Real-time feedback for academic performance	54	47.0%
Simplification of complex business topics	52	45.0%
Automation of repetitive tasks (Efficiency)	50	43.5%
Fostering creativity in problem-solving	48	41.7%

The most significant benefit identified by students is the ability of AI to provide real-time feedback (47%), which directly influences their academic performance. Additionally, a large majority of students utilize AI to simplify complex business topics (**45%**) and automate repetitive tasks (**43.5%**). These findings suggest that AI is currently acting as a critical efficiency booster and cognitive aid in the learning process.

Table 4: Challenges in Using AI-Powered Tools

Research Question 4: What are the challenges experienced in using AI-powered tools in business education?

Identified Challenges	Frequency (n=114)	Percentage (%)
Concerns about Inaccurate Information	45	39.0%
Potential for Dependence/Reduced Critical Thinking	44	38.0%
Digital Literacy Barriers	40	34.8%
Data Privacy Concerns	39	33.9%

Despite the high willingness to adopt AI, significant barriers persist. The leading concern is the reliability of information, with 39% of students worried about AI-generated misinformation. Furthermore, the findings reveal a tension between utility and independence, as **38%** of students fear that a dependence on AI could diminish their critical thinking skills. Digital literacy gaps and data privacy also remain notable obstacles to full and responsible adoption.

Summary of Results

The findings illustrate a "readiness gap": while only 46.5% of students are current users, 74.6% of non-users are willing to adopt the technology. This highlights a massive opportunity for institutions to bridge the gap through structured training and policy frameworks that address the specific concerns of misinformation and digital literacy.

Discussion of Findings

The study revealed a generally positive reception toward AI-powered tools, with 42.6% of students strongly agreeing that these tools increase engagement and 40% noting improved motivation. These findings align with research by Chan and Hu (2023), who also observed a generally positive attitude among university students regarding AI's potential for personalized learning support. The data suggest that when students perceive AI as a facilitator of efficiency—such as the 35.7% who felt it made studying more efficient—their overall academic outlook improves.

A significant finding of this study is the specific application of AI in professional simulations and skill development, with usage rates of 42.6% and 46%, respectively. This practical application highlights AI's growing role as an "essential part of students' learning experiences". This mirrors the perspectives in broader literature where AI is recognized for its capabilities in research, analysis, and brainstorming. However, while the most commonly used tool in this study was Grammarly, the usage extends into complex decision-making tools, suggesting that business education students are moving beyond simple writing assistants toward more sophisticated academic aids.

The results identified a notable "readiness gap": while only 46.5% of students are currently using AI, an overwhelming 74.6% of non-users express a willingness to adopt it. This disconnect is largely attributed to digital literacy barriers (34.8%) and financial constraints. This finding supports the qualitative evidence provided by Tierney et al. (2025), which emphasizes that nuanced student experiences and barriers must be captured to inform effective integration strategies. Without addressing these digital gaps, institutions risk inequitable access to learning innovations.

One of the most critical challenges identified was the concern over misinformation (39%) and data privacy (33.9%). These empirical results provide weight to the theoretical concerns raised by Zhou et al. (2023) regarding academic integrity and the necessity for clear usage guidelines. Furthermore, the belief held by 38% of students that AI dependence reduces critical thinking underscores a major ethical tension in modern pedagogy: the balance between technological efficiency and independent human thought.

This study fills a critical gap in understanding how AI-powered tools are moving from theoretical concepts to practical academic necessities. The study supports the findings regarding student concerns over critical thinking erosion and cognitive reliance. Alghamdi (2025) demonstrates how self-efficacy mediates students' perception of whether AI enhances or undermines their independent problem-solving skills.

Al-Fattai (2025) relates directly to the discussion on practical teaching methodologies in business and marketing courses and provides empirical support for using AI as a comparative learning partner rather than a replacement, echoing the study's call for interactive, reflective tasks.

Conclusion

While current AI usage among business education students stands at 46.5%, there is a massive, untapped potential for growth, as 74.6% of non-users are willing to adopt these technologies. Business students are moving beyond simple writing tools like Grammarly to use AI for high-level academic tasks, including business simulations (42.6%) and skill development (46%). AI is perceived as a catalyst for academic performance, with 42.6% of

students reporting that it increases engagement and 35.7% noting it makes studying significantly more efficient. Despite the benefits, substantial obstacles remain, notably concerns regarding misinformation (39%), digital literacy gaps (34.8%), and fears that AI dependence may diminish critical thinking skills (38%) (Haroud & Saqri, 2025). The findings emphasize that successful integration is not merely a matter of access, but requires structured AI training, equitable resource distribution, and clear ethical policies to protect data privacy.

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into business education represents a transformative shift that extends far beyond simple automation, offering a powerful mechanism for enhanced student engagement and professional simulation Du, C., & Qiao, S., 2026). However, the "readiness gap" identified in this study highlights that technology alone is insufficient; its success depends on the ability of educational institutions to bridge digital literacy divides and establish robust ethical guardrails. By prioritizing structured training and critical thinking, educators and policymakers can harness the innovative power of AI to create a more efficient, inclusive, and future-ready academic environment that empowers the next generation of business leaders (Alghamdi, 2025).

Recommendation

In line with the research findings and the identified barriers to adoption of AI-powered usage in teaching and learning, the following recommendations are provided to optimize the integration of AI-powered tools in business education:

1. Integration of AI Literacy into the Curriculum

Institutions should formally incorporate AI literacy programs into the business education curricula. These programs should equip students with the necessary technical skills to use AI tools effectively while fostering the critical thinking required to evaluate AI-generated outputs. This in tandem with Alli (2024), who provides pedagogical strategies that align with embedding real-world AI tools into business curricula. Also, Batat (2024) corroborates this study's framework for curriculum modernization. It further proposes concrete instructional models to help educators transition from traditional lecturing to AI-augmented experiential learning in business programs.

2. Development of Quality Assurance Frameworks

To address concerns regarding misinformation, educators and AI developers should collaborate to establish guidelines for verifying the accuracy of AI-generated content. Students should be taught "human-in-the-loop" verification techniques to ensure the reliability of their research and business simulations.

3. Promoting Balanced Human-AI Interaction

While AI enhances efficiency, educators must encourage a balanced approach that prevents over-reliance on technology. Teaching strategies should focus on using AI as a supportive tool for brainstorming and automation de Souza Lessa, de Lucena, de Menezes & de Sousa Filho, 2026), while reserving complex decision-making and independent analysis for the human learner.

4. Ensuring Equitable Access

To bridge the digital gap identified in the study, higher institutions should pursue partnerships with AI service providers to offer students affordable or free access to premium AI-powered resources. This ensures that financial constraints do not become a barrier to learning innovation.

5. Strengthening Data Privacy and Ethical Policies

Institutions must implement and communicate clear data protection policies to mitigate student concerns regarding privacy and security. Ethical guidelines according to

Sergeeva (2025) should be established to address issues of academic integrity and the responsible use of AI tools in business problem-solving.

6. Focused Faculty Training

Given that a segment of the student population remains skeptical or neutral, educators themselves require structured training. Faculty should be empowered to guide students in the ethical and practical application of AI, moving beyond theoretical discussion to practical classroom implementation.

References

Al-Fattal, A. (2025). You do it, AI does it, you compare and reflect: Exploring reflective learning with generative AI in principles of marketing. *Journal of Marketing Education*, *47*(2), 145–160. https://doi.org/10.1177/02734753251356691

Alghamdi, A. A. (2025). University students' perceptions of generative AI for critical thinking and creativity: The influence of self-efficacy and disciplinary differences. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2025.2600476

Allil, K. (2024). Integrating AI-driven marketing analytics techniques into the classroom: Pedagogical strategies for enhancing student engagement and future business success. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, *12*(2), 142–168. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-023-00281-z

Batat, W. (2024). Revolutionizing business and marketing education: The MECCDAL model and a case study from the American Institute of Business Experience Design. *Journal of Macromarketing*, *44*(3), 590–601. https://doi.org/10.1177/02761467241244472

Cervantes, J. S., & Navarro, E. J. (2025). Business students' perceptions of AI in higher education: An analysis using the Technology Acceptance Model. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, *3*(6), 6–12. https://doi.org/10.69569/jip.2025.194

Chan, C. K. Y., & Hu, W. (2023). *Students' voices on generative AI: Perceptions, benefits, and challenges in higher education.* https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.00290

Delina, G., & Kumar, R. M. (2026). Students' perceptions on the generative AI tool ChatGPT: Examining the interrelationships between knowledge, willingness and challenges. *Management and Labour Studies*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042x261419180

Du, C., & Qiao, S. (2026). Cultivating university students' creativity and entrepreneurship: An AI-powered scenario-based design thinking approach. *The International Journal of Management Education*, *24*(2), Article 101372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2026.101372

Haroud, S., & Saqri, N. (2025). Generative AI in higher education: Teachers' and students' perspectives on support, replacement, and digital literacy. *Education Sciences*, *15*(4), Article 396. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15040396

Sergeeva, O. V. (2025). Impact of digital media literacy on attitude toward generative AI acceptance in higher education. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*, Article 1563148. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1563148

de Souza Lessa, B., de Lucena, N. F., de Menezes, L. M. L., & de Sousa Filho, J. M. (2026). Synthetic case studies in management education: The support of generative AI. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2026.2621967

Tierney, A., Peasey, P., & Gould, J. (2025). Student perceptions on the impact of AI on their teaching and learning experiences in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 20*(5), 1–15.

Zhou, X., Zhang, J. J., & Chan, C. (2023). Unveiling students' experiences and perceptions of artificial intelligence usage in higher education. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice, 20*(5), 1–18.