Digital Language Movements in Nigeria: Challenges and Potentials for Multilingual Inclusion

Kene Lucile EMENIKE
Department of Modern European Languages
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
kl.emenike@unizik.edu.ng
07036677889
And
Chidiebere Tinamary OMULU
Department of Modern European Languages
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka
tc.omulu@unizik.edu.ng
09048014841

Abstract
This article examines the role of digital language movements in Nigeria and their contribution to multilingual inclusion in the country's digital environment. Despite growing scholarly attention to digital activism, there remains a limited theoretical understanding of how such movements shape multilingual inclusion in postcolonial, linguistically diverse contexts such as Nigeria. This study addresses this gap by adopting a theoretical approach grounded in existing literature and conceptual analysis. Drawing on secondary data from scholarly publications, policy documents, and documented cases of online language advocacy, the study does not seek to quantify outcomes but to critically interpret patterns and implications of digital engagement. The analysis is carried out through thematic and discourse-based examination of existing sources to identify recurring dynamics, challenges, and potentials within digital language movements. The findings suggest that digital advocacy has contributed to increasing the visibility of language rights, fostering community–policy dialogues, and influencing emerging policy considerations. However, persistent structural challenges, including underfunding, limited political will, and unequal digital access, continue to constrain these efforts, particularly for rural populations and minority language speakers. While digital language movements are gradually reshaping national discourse on linguistic inclusion, their long-term impact depends on sustained institutional support, effective policy implementation, and the development of inclusive multilingual digital infrastructures.

Keywords: Digital language movements, Multilingual inclusion, Indigenous languages, Nigerian digital activism, Language and technology

Introduction

Nigeria is one of the world's most linguistically diverse countries, with over 500 indigenous languages spoken across its regions (Ayeomoni, 12). While this multilingualism reflects the country's cultural richness, it also creates challenges for linguistic equity in education, governance, media, and other official domains. Despite this diversity, English, a legacy of colonial rule, remains the official language and continues to dominate formal communication and digital content, often marginalizing indigenous languages (Bamgbose, 2).

Africa's rapid digital transformation has introduced new dimensions to Nigeria's sociolinguistic landscape. Expanding internet access, mobile technology, and social media have created opportunities for grassroots participation in language promotion. Digital language movements, digitally mediated efforts to sustain linguistic diversity through online engagement (Lee, 45) are increasingly driven by Nigerian youth on platforms such as X (formerly Twitter), Facebook, TikTok, and YouTube. These platforms are used to promote indigenous languages, express cultural identity, and preserve indigenous knowledge (Nnawuihe et al., 158; Salawu, 2025). Such initiatives include localized mobile applications, multilingual content creation, hashtag activism, online campaigns for language rights, and collaborative language digitization projects, illustrating the intersection of digital activism and sociolinguistic resistance (Cunliffe, Morris, and Prys, 415).

This article examines the emergence and impact of digital language movements in Nigeria within the broader contexts of digital inclusion and multilingualism. It addresses the following research questions: What forms do digital language movements take in Nigeria? How are they shaped by technological affordances and sociopolitical conditions? What opportunities and challenges do they face in advancing linguistic justice?

The study pursues three objectives: 1)To identify and analyze key digital initiatives promoting indigenous languages in Nigeria. 2)To examine the technological, political, and societal challenges confronting these movements. 3)To explore how digital tools are reimagining the role of indigenous languages in national discourse. The study adopts a qualitative research design based on desk research, case study analysis, and digital observation. Data were drawn from scholarly literature, media reports, and social media content, with selected case studies illustrating the strategies, achievements, and continuing challenges of digital language advocacy.

Overall, the study argues that digital platforms have become important spaces for negotiating linguistic identity and promoting cultural agency. As Nigeria seeks to balance linguistic diversity

with national cohesion, digital language movements offer valuable insights into how technology can support multilingual inclusion and the revitalization of indigenous languages.

Conceptual Framework

This study is anchored in theories of digital activism and sociolinguistics, particularly the concept of connective action developed by Bennett and Segerberg and sociolinguistic perspectives on language and identity in digital spaces. These frameworks explain how digitally mediated participation facilitates collective action, identity construction, and the amplification of marginalized voices in multilingual contexts (Bennett and Segerberg 739; Seargeant and Tagg 2). Together, they provide a useful lens for examining how digital technologies challenge linguistic hierarchies and promote indigenous language advocacy in Nigeria.

Language Rights and Linguistic Justice

Language rights are fundamental to linguistic justice in multilingual and postcolonial societies. Skutnabb-Kangas argues that linguistic human rights are essential for protecting minority languages and that educational systems privileging dominant languages over mother tongues amount to "subtractive language education," threatening the survival of indigenous languages (Skutnabb-Kangas 311). In Nigeria, where English dominates formal institutions, this perspective explains the continued marginalization of indigenous languages. Similarly, Phillipson's theory of linguistic imperialism shows how the global dominance of English reinforces cultural and epistemological inequalities (Phillipson 47). Digital language movements therefore represent important forms of resistance by creating online spaces for indigenous language use and cultural expression.

Digital Activism

Digital language movements are also informed by theories of digital activism. Postill defines digital activism as the strategic use of digital media to achieve social or political change (Postill 88). In Nigeria, activists employ hashtags, mobile applications, digital storytelling, and local-language educational content to promote linguistic visibility and advocacy. Castells' concept of networked social movements further explains how decentralized, digitally connected communities mobilize to resist exclusion and assert alternative cultural identities (Castells 12). Together, these perspectives highlight digital language movements as community-driven efforts to advance linguistic justice and cultural inclusion.

Digital Divide and E-Governance in Multilingual Contexts

Digital activism in Nigeria is constrained by a persistent digital divide: urban centers (e.g., Lagos, Abuja) have far better connectivity, devices, and digital literacy than rural and semi-urban areas, limiting participation in indigenous-language online spaces. Language barriers concentrate indigenous-language content among urban, digitally literate users, while rural and minority-language speakers face unreliable electricity, limited broadband, costly mobile data, and lower digital literacy, which together block meaningful engagement (Ogbodo and Guo). In e-governance, the dominance of English often reproduces exclusion; achieving language rights requires multilingual platforms that enable real-time interaction in indigenous languages rather than symbolic representation.

Linguistic Citizenship, Digital Inclusion, and Language Ecology

Linguistic citizenship asserts individuals' right to use their languages in public discourse and to be heard as full participants (Stroud 693). Digital inclusion means equitable access to devices, connectivity, and meaningful multilingual content; without it, digital tools can reinforce existing hierarchies. Together, these concepts highlight that promoting indigenous-language presence online demands both infrastructure and policy that support access, literacy, and interactive multilingual e-governance.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in Linguistic Ecology Theory, as formulated by Einar Haugen. Haugen frames language as an interdependent system shaped by its social, political, technological, and cultural environment: "the ecology of a language is determined primarily by the people who use it, and by what they do with it." (Haugen 325). Applied to Nigeria's multilingual digital landscape, the ecological lens treats digital platforms as new ecological niches where indigenous languages may adapt, persist, or decline. Digital language movements are therefore read as ecological responses to marginalization, and the theory helps link the study's objectives: (1) identify digital initiatives that promote indigenous languages, (2) assess barriers to those initiatives, and (3) evaluate processes of linguistic revalorization.

The study operationalizes the model in three analytic steps: 1) Leveling and data organization. Data are organized across three ecological levels: technological (platform affordances, algorithms,

multimodal tools), social (user interactions, community practices, institutional roles), and linguistic (language choice, code-switching, representation). 2)Thematic analysis within levels. Each level is analyzed for recurring patterns: platform features that enable or constrain visibility; social dynamics that shape participation; and linguistic practices that determine which languages gain prominence. 3) Relational interpretation. Findings are interpreted relationally to show how technological affordances and social practices jointly produce linguistic outcomes, rather than treating each factor in isolation. This framework treats digital platforms as active environments that structure linguistic possibilities, enabling a focused, multi-stage analysis of how digital spaces facilitate or hinder indigenous-language presence and agency in Nigeria.

Overview of Language Policy and Digital Governance in Nigeria

Historical Overview of Language Policy

Nigeria's language policy has long reflected the tension between its multilingual reality and the pursuit of national unity. Following independence, ethnic and political divisions prevented the adoption of a national language, leading to the retention of English as the official language of government, education, and inter-ethnic communication (Bamgbose 6). As a result, English became the language of social mobility and state authority, while indigenous languages were largely confined to informal and cultural domains. The three-language policy introduced in the 1970s sought to promote linguistic inclusion by recommending English, a major Nigerian language, and the learner's mother tongue in early education (Federal Republic of Nigeria 9). However, implementation remained inconsistent, as many schools continued to prioritize English because of limited resources, inadequate teacher preparation, and parental preferences (Obiakor 2024; Ifelunni et al. 2024). A major policy shift occurred in 2025 when the National Council on Education replaced the mother-tongue framework with English as the sole language of instruction across all educational levels. Although the reform was justified as a strategy to improve educational outcomes, it represents a transition from multilingual accommodation to institutional monolingualism. Rather than addressing longstanding implementation challenges, the policy reinforces English dominance and reflects a broader ideological shift in language planning (Obiakor 2024; Dixon et al. 2025).

This transition has important implications for linguistic equity and digital inclusion. Removing indigenous languages from formal education weakens literacy development, reinforces colonial language hierarchies, and limits the production of linguistic resources essential for digital

technologies. Recent studies on Nigerian Natural Language Processing show that even widely spoken languages such as Hausa, Igbo, and Yoruba remain under-resourced because of insufficient data generation, while many minority languages are absent from global AI benchmarks. These challenges are closely linked to the lack of institutional support for literacy and language documentation. Consequently, educational language policy directly influences the availability of digital language resources and the future representation of Nigerian languages in AI and other emerging technologies. Overall, Nigeria's language policy has shifted from multilingual aspiration to English-centred consolidation, with significant consequences for education, linguistic diversity, and digital participation (Obiakor 2024; Dixon et al. 2025; Ifelunni et al. 2024).

Marginalization in Education, Media, and Technological Infrastructures

Linguistic marginalization in Nigeria extends beyond education and media into digital and technological systems. The dominance of English in education limits literacy in indigenous languages, while inadequate instructional materials and institutional support further restrict their development (Adegbija). Similarly, national media largely prioritize English and a few major Nigerian languages, leaving minority languages underrepresented in public discourse. These inequalities are reproduced in digital technologies, particularly Natural Language Processing (NLP), which depends on large, annotated language datasets. Because most Nigerian languages remain under-documented and under-resourced, they are largely excluded from AI development. This creates a cycle in which limited educational and media representation reduces the availability of digital corpora, further restricting technological inclusion. As Emezue (2020) demonstrates, NLP development for languages such as Igbo remains at an early stage, illustrating the broader underrepresentation of African languages.

This pattern reflects Phillipson's concept of linguistic imperialism in a digital context, where insufficient digital representation results in algorithmic exclusion. The continued dominance of foundational scholarship, alongside the limited availability of recent computational research on African languages, further reinforces this imbalance.

Digital Governance and Linguistic Representation

These inequalities are reproduced in digital governance frameworks. Despite the proliferation of e-governance platforms in Nigeria, most government digital services operate almost exclusively in English. As Francis Egbokhare and Gloria Edu-Bekoe argue, this linguistic limitation restricts

access for populations that are either non-literate in English or more proficient in indigenous languages. The absence of multilingual interfaces in government websites, health portals, and electoral platforms effectively excludes significant portions of the population from civic participation. From an analytical perspective, this represents not merely a language policy failure, but a broader issue of digital inequality embedded in governance design. When linguistic diversity is not incorporated into digital systems, the result is a form of "digital monolingualism" that reproduces offline hierarchies in online spaces. In this sense, digital governance becomes a site where linguistic capital determines access to rights, services, and participation, thereby reinforcing socio-political stratification.

Global Frameworks and the Politics of Inclusion

International frameworks provide a normative counterpoint to these exclusionary practices. UNESCO emphasizes that multilingual access to digital spaces is fundamental to inclusive knowledge societies, advocating for policies that support language digitization and local content development. Similarly, the African Union calls for the integration of indigenous languages into ICT and governance systems, framing linguistic inclusion as central to democratic participation and cultural sustainability. However, the gap between policy and practice remains significant. While these frameworks articulate the importance of multilingual digital ecosystems, their implementation is uneven, particularly in contexts where infrastructural and political commitment is limited. In Nigeria, this disconnect underscores the need to reconceptualize linguistic inclusion not merely as cultural preservation but as a technological and developmental imperative**.**

Implication

Taken together, the marginalization of Nigerian languages across education, media, and digital governance reveals a layered system of exclusion that extends into AI and NLP development. The designation of these languages as "low-resource" is not a neutral technical classification but the outcome of longstanding socio-political and institutional neglect. Without deliberate efforts to document, digitize, and integrate indigenous languages into technological systems, digital transformation risks entrenching existing inequalities rather than alleviating them.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research design to examine the role of digital language movements in promoting indigenous languages and multilingual inclusion in Nigeria. Given the exploratory nature of the study, a qualitative approach is appropriate for capturing the social, cultural, and technological dimensions of these movements. The research combines desk research with multiple case study analyses. Desk research provided the theoretical and contextual foundation through a review of peer-reviewed articles, books, policy documents, institutional reports, and credible media sources. The review focused on language endangerment, digital activism, multilingualism, and the status of indigenous languages in Nigeria, enabling the identification of key debates and research gaps.

A multiple case study approach was used to examine purposively selected digital language initiatives, including social media campaigns, language-learning applications, online dictionaries, and community-driven platforms. Cases were selected based on their relevance to indigenous language promotion, digital engagement, and the Nigerian context. Publicly available sources, such as official websites, social media content, and media reports, were analyzed to assess their objectives, digital strategies, impact, and the challenges they face. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis, with findings coded into themes aligned with the study's objectives, including digital innovation, barriers to multilingual inclusion, and changing perceptions of indigenous languages. Methodological triangulation enhanced the credibility of the findings by integrating evidence from multiple sources. Although the study relies on secondary data and therefore cannot capture participants' lived experiences, the approach provides a rigorous framework for understanding how digital initiatives are reshaping Nigeria's multilingual landscape.

Presentation of data

This section presents data and analysis organized in line with the study’s objectives, drawing on documented digital initiatives, scholarly literature, and observed online practices. The analysis first identifies key digital efforts promoting indigenous languages, then examines the challenges they encounter, and finally explores how digital tools are used to reimagine the role of these languages in national discourse. In relation to the first objective, the data reveal a growing range of digital initiatives dedicated to indigenous language promotion. Across platforms such as X, Facebook, TikTok, and YouTube, users engage in activities including the sharing of vocabulary, proverbs, translations, storytelling, and educational content. These initiatives function as

decentralized, community-driven efforts that expand the visibility and everyday use of indigenous languages in digital spaces. Rather than representing isolated actions, they constitute a broader pattern of digital language promotion grounded in participation and cultural expression.

Addressing the second objective, the analysis identifies persistent challenges that shape the scope and impact of these initiatives. Technologically, many Nigerian languages remain underrepresented in digital infrastructures, particularly in areas such as keyboard support, standardized orthographies, and language processing tools. Politically and institutionally, limited policy support for multilingual digital inclusion constrains the integration of indigenous languages into formal digital services. Socially, attitudes that associate English with prestige and socioeconomic mobility continue to influence language choices online. These intersecting challenges demonstrate that digital promotion occurs within a broader context of structural inequality. With respect to the third objective, the findings show that digital tools are not only used for promotion but also for redefining the role of indigenous languages. Hashtags, multimedia content, and interactive features enable users to reposition local languages as relevant to contemporary communication, education, and identity formation. In this sense, digital platforms become spaces where the meanings and functions of indigenous languages are actively negotiated. Advocacy-oriented activities (such as campaigns, petitions, and influencer engagement) emerge within this broader ecosystem, as one of several strategies through which users seek greater recognition and inclusion.

I. @NgLanguages on Twitter

The @NgLanguages campaign illustrates how digital language activism operates within the affordances and constraints of platformed communication. Rather than merely *creating space* for linguistic expression, its use of hashtags and Twitter Spaces can be analytically understood as a strategy of visibility production, aggregating dispersed linguistic practices into searchable, algorithmically legible forms. In line with Daniel Miller Postill concept of "temporary publics," these practices enable short-lived but recurrent collectivities organized around language preservation. However, the effectiveness of such visibility is uneven. Platform algorithms calibrated toward high-engagement, predominantly English-language content systematically deprioritize minority-language material, limiting its circulation beyond niche audiences. Furthermore, participation appears skewed toward urban, digitally literate users, suggesting that the campaign may reinforce existing socio-digital inequalities rather than substantially expanding

inclusion among marginalized, non-connected speakers. Thus, while the initiative succeeds symbolically, its capacity to influence long-term language maintenance or inter-generational transmission remains structurally constrained.

A comparable dynamic is evident in technology-driven initiatives such as *Igbo Amaka, Yoruba Hub*, and *ArewaTech.* These platforms represent an instrumental shift from symbolic visibility to functional integration, embedding indigenous languages within everyday digital practices (e.g., messaging, education, and service delivery). From an analytical perspective, this reflects a move toward what Manuel Castells conceptualizes as networked social movements, where technological infrastructures facilitate decentralized cultural production. However, their impact is mediated by systemic limitations. First, scalability is hindered by inconsistent funding and dependence on project-based or donor-driven models, which restrict continuity and technological advancement. Second, infrastructural deficits, particularly uneven broadband access, produce a geographic disparity in who benefits from these tools, privileging urban over rural users. Third, the relative underdevelopment of natural language processing resources for Nigerian languages constrains the sophistication and usability of applications, limiting their competitiveness with dominant-language technologies.

II. Wikipedia Initiatives: WikiNaija & LinguaLiberty

WikiNaija and LinguaLiberty promote Nigerian languages such as Yoruba, Igbo, Hausa, Tiv, and Efik by expanding Wikimedia content through edit-a-thons, article translation, audio resources, and lexical database development. These initiatives exemplify linguistic citizenship, enabling speakers to preserve and promote their languages online (Stroud 693). They also support UNESCO's goal of increasing locally generated digital content. However, their impact is constrained by inconsistent orthographies, uneven community participation, and the greater visibility of dominant languages on Wikimedia platforms.

III. Influencers, Educators, Developers, and NGOs

Grassroots efforts by content creators, educators, developers, and NGOs have expanded the visibility of indigenous languages in Nigeria. YouTube creators such as *Aunty Kemi Teaches Yoruba* and *Learn Igbo with Uche*, alongside TikTok creators, use digital media to promote language learning, storytelling, and cultural identity, particularly among younger audiences.

Educators also use platforms such as WhatsApp to facilitate community-based literacy through voice notes, quizzes, and culturally relevant learning materials in languages including Ibibio, Nupe, and Tiv. Meanwhile, organizations such as the *Centre for Language Documentation and Conservation Nigeria* collaborate with linguists and diaspora communities to document oral traditions, develop digital dictionaries, and provide language training. Together, these initiatives exemplify Stroud's concept of "linguistic citizenship from below," in which communities actively sustain and promote their languages in digital spaces (Stroud 693). However, challenges such as dialect variation, limited standardization, and concerns about intergenerational language transmission continue to shape these efforts and the development of language technologies.

IV. Advocacy for Policy Change and Mother Tongue Education

i. Campaigns for ICT Policy Reform

Digital platforms have increasingly been used to advocate for the inclusion of indigenous languages in Nigeria's ICT policy framework. Campaigns such as *#IndigenousICTNow* mobilized educators, technologists, and activists to call for broader language support in digital systems. Through webinars and online demonstrations, participants showcased the technical feasibility of localizing software interfaces in languages such as Yoruba, Igbo, and Hausa, aligning with Castells's characterization of networked movements grounded in both communication and technical expertise (Castells 27). While recent policy revisions acknowledge the importance of language inclusion, implementation remains limited. This gap illustrates a broader tension between symbolic recognition and substantive policy change. Nevertheless, digital advocacy has contributed to shifting public discourse and increasing institutional awareness.

ii. School Curricula and E-learning Platforms

Parallel initiatives have focused on integrating indigenous languages into educational systems and digital learning environments. Campaigns advocating for extended mother tongue instruction have gained traction through online petitions and multimedia testimonials shared by teachers and parents. These materials often highlight the positive effects of mother tongue education on student engagement and literacy outcomes. Institutional responses have been gradual. Pilot programs introducing mother-tongue e-learning modules in selected schools represent an initial step, though their scope remains limited. Even so, the visibility generated through digital platforms, via webinars, video content, and shared data, has played an important role in drawing attention to these efforts and securing preliminary support.

iii. Indigenous Languages in Apps, Textbooks, and Voice Technologies

Advocacy has also targeted the inclusion of Nigerian languages in digital tools and commercial platforms. Initiatives promoting language localization have documented applications that already support indigenous languages, thereby encouraging wider adoption within the private sector.

Efforts to expand access to educational materials in local languages have similarly gained momentum. Calls for the translation of subject-specific textbooks into indigenous languages have prompted limited but notable institutional responses, including pilot translation projects in selected languages. In the area of language technology, collaborations between developers, researchers, and tech communities have led to early-stage innovations such as prototype voice assistants and language datasets. These projects are often developed in open, collaborative environments, with code and demonstrations shared online. Such practices reflect broader patterns of knowledge exchange within digitally mediated public. (Postill 404).

iv. Digital Advocacy Strategies

Contemporary language advocacy increasingly relies on digital methods to mobilize support and engage policymakers. Online discussions, virtual forums, and recorded interviews provide platforms for stakeholders to articulate concerns and propose solutions. Social media interactions, including direct engagement with public officials, are used to sustain visibility and accountability.

Online petitions also serve as a key mechanism for demonstrating public support. By combining signature counts with engagement metrics, organizers can present quantifiable evidence of interest in language-related issues. These approaches illustrate a shift from general awareness-raising toward more targeted and strategic forms of advocacy. As noted by Castells, networked movements are most effective when they integrate information dissemination with coordinated collective action (Castells 30).

Analysis of Data

From our analysis, several important trends and challenges emerged regarding the status and impact of digital language movements in Nigeria.

First, it became evident that digital advocacy campaigns have proven effective in several key areas. These movements have successfully raised visibility and political awareness about indigenous language rights, drawing attention to the exclusion of minority languages from national digital discourse. In some instances, they have influenced pilot policy initiatives, such as the integration

of local languages in the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) programs and the gradual localization of some mobile applications. These efforts also appear to have enabled community–policy dialogues, where digital platforms, especially social media serve as spaces for marginalized voices to express linguistic concerns and advocate for inclusion. However, our analysis also reveals that these gains are constrained by multiple structural and systemic barriers. Among the most significant is resource scarcity. There is a notable lack of funding for essential processes such as textbook translations, application development, and the recruitment of skilled language personnel within public institutions. These limitations severely undermine the ability of advocacy groups and government bodies to implement sustained multilingual digital programs.

Furthermore, political inertia presents a critical barrier. Although some policy responses exist, they are often limited to pilot schemes or symbolic acknowledgements without corresponding enforcement mechanisms. There is little evidence of large-scale legislative backing or executive mandates to embed indigenous languages in national ICT architecture. As a result, these efforts remain fragmented and lack long-term institutional support. A third major constraint is digital inequality. Most advocacy and awareness campaigns circulate in English and within online spaces, platforms that are not fully accessible to rural populations or individuals with limited digital literacy. Consequently, those most affected by language exclusion often remain disconnected from the very movements advocating for their inclusion. Despite these barriers, digital advocacy has shifted national discourse on language inclusion to some extent and has prompted limited, though meaningful, policy responses. However, our findings suggest that the consolidation of these gains will require sustainable funding mechanisms, legal and institutional follow-through, and inclusive communication strategies that go beyond English-dominant channels.

i. Government Responsiveness to Linguistic Diversity

Our analysis also highlights a notable gap between advocacy outcomes and actual government responsiveness. Despite increased discussion on the importance of linguistic diversity, most federal digital platforms remain monolingual, providing services exclusively in English. For example, the National Identity Number (NIN) registration portal, a critical access point for citizenship and social services, is available only in English, excluding many rural, non-English-speaking citizens (Egbokhare and Edu-Bekoe 83). Similar patterns exist in the Federal Inland Revenue Service (FIRS) portal, Passport Application Services, and the National Health Insurance Scheme (NHIS), all of which operate with no language alternatives (Adegbija 112). Although documents such as the Nigerian Digital Identity Plan nominally recognize the relevance of local

languages, the implementation gap remains substantial. The absence of linguistic alternatives undermines the principle of linguistic citizenship and denies large segments of the population meaningful access to digital public services (Stroud 693).

ii. Barriers to Language Integration

Further analysis reveals that the lack of integration of indigenous languages into digital governance structures is driven by deeper institutional and ideological barriers:

Limited Political Will: There is insufficient legislative or executive action to enforce multilingual policy mandates. Without legal compulsion, service providers and agencies continue to default to English, lacking motivation to diversify language access (Bamgbose 42).

Inadequate Funding: Language integration, especially in digital contexts, requires long-term financial investment. Yet, there is no evidence of dedicated budgetary allocations for language localization within Nigeria's ICT or e-governance sectors (Egbokhare and Edu-Bekoe 85).

Centralized Language Planning: Language policies continue to prioritize the three major languages (Hausa, Yoruba, and Igbo) while systematically neglecting smaller language communities. This centralization runs counter to the principles of linguistic ecology, which advocates equal recognition of all languages in a given environment (Haugen 330). Consequently, language planning reinforces elite linguistic hierarchies rather than embracing the full spectrum of Nigeria's multilingual identity. These barriers are not merely technical or administrative in nature, they reflect deep-seated ideological resistance to the concept of fully inclusive digital citizenship. Unless addressed through targeted reforms, inclusive advocacy, and structural investment, these limitations will continue to marginalize large populations and prevent Nigeria from fully realizing the transformative potential of digital multilingualism.

Discussion: Challenges to Multilingual Inclusion in the Digital Era

Applying Haugen's linguistic ecology framework, digital language movements in Nigeria can be understood as efforts to reshape the environment in which indigenous languages function. Online campaigns, localized applications, and digital learning platforms create new spaces for marginalized languages, expanding their use beyond traditional domains and addressing the study's first objective. These initiatives have also increased the visibility of indigenous languages in national discussions on identity, inclusion, and development. By enabling speakers to actively

use and promote their languages online, digital platforms reinforce Haugen's view that language vitality depends on both usage and social value, thereby supporting the study's third objective.

However, their impact remains constrained by structural barriers. Limited funding, inadequate technological infrastructure, and shortages of linguistic expertise restrict the sustainability of digital initiatives, while weak policy implementation and institutional commitment hinder their long-term effectiveness. These challenges directly address the study's second objective by highlighting the technological and institutional obstacles to digital language development. Digital inequality further limits participation, as English-dominated platforms and unequal internet access exclude many of the communities these movements seek to empower. Consequently, although digital advocacy has expanded opportunities for indigenous languages, its transformative potential depends on stronger policy support, sustained investment, and more inclusive digital infrastructures capable of supporting Nigeria's multilingual ecosystem.

Challenges and Potentials within Nigeria's Digital Linguistic Ecology

Within Haugen's ecological framework, multilingual inclusion in Nigeria's digital sphere is shaped by the interaction between linguistic practices and the socio-technological environments that sustain them. The constraints identified here are therefore not discrete obstacles but structural conditions that regulate how languages adapt, compete, and persist across digital domains.

i. Digital Exclusion: Literacy, Connectivity, and Access

Digital participation in Nigeria remains uneven due to disparities in literacy and infrastructure. Literacy gaps persist across regions, particularly in rural areas, while access to digital infrastructure remains limited. The World Bank notes that broadband penetration in Nigeria remains below half of the population, with significantly lower rates in rural communities (World Bank). These disparities produce stratified digital environments in which participation is unevenly distributed. Speakers of minority languages who are less likely to encounter localized digital content remain underrepresented in both content production and access. From an ecological perspective, such conditions favor dominant languages while constraining the adaptive capacity of others.

ii. Language Ideologies and Internal Hierarchies

Language use in Nigeria is shaped by entrenched ideological hierarchies that privilege certain languages over others. Hausa, Yoruba, and Igbo benefit from institutional recognition and media presence, whereas many smaller languages remain marginalized (Bamgbose 39). These hierarchies influence digital practices by shaping perceptions of prestige and utility, often discouraging the use of less dominant languages in online environments. Consequently, digital spaces tend to reproduce offline linguistic inequalities. Within Haugen's ecological model, this reflects an imbalance in symbolic and functional support across languages.

iii. Standardization and Orthographic Instability

The expansion of indigenous languages into digital domains is further constrained by issues of standardization. Haugen emphasizes that codification and stabilization are central to language development and functional expansion (Haugen 329). In Nigeria, inconsistent orthographies, limited lexical resources, and dialectal variation complicate the development of interoperable digital tools. These challenges hinder scalability and reduce the effectiveness of language technologies, thereby limiting broader adoption.

iv. Infrastructural and Policy Constraints

Institutional and infrastructural conditions continue to shape the broader linguistic ecology. Although Nigeria's digital policy frameworks acknowledge inclusion, implementation remains uneven and often lacks enforceable mechanisms (Federal Ministry of Communications and Digital Economy 12–15). In addition, infrastructural limitations including unstable electricity supply and limited technical expertise, restrict the sustainability of digital language initiatives. These constraints produce an environment in which localized innovations emerge but remain difficult to scale or institutionalize.

Potentials and Emerging Trajectories

Despite these constraints, the Nigerian digital landscape reveals adaptive processes that align with the reconfiguration of linguistic roles in contemporary discourse.

i. Youth-Led Digital Advocacy

Youth engagement has become a central driver of linguistic innovation in digital spaces. Through social media platforms, young users actively incorporate indigenous languages into entertainment, education, and everyday interaction. These practices expand the functional domains of local languages and contribute to their normalization in digital communication. Such developments

reflect what Stroud conceptualizes as "linguistic citizenship," where speakers actively shape the conditions of language use and visibility (Stroud 3–5).

ii. AI and Language Technologies

Advances in natural language processing offer new possibilities for multilingual inclusion. Research on low-resource languages demonstrates increasing development of machine translation systems, speech technologies, and language datasets for African languages (Emezue 2–4). These tools enable indigenous languages to interface with digital systems that have historically privileged global languages, thereby supporting their integration into computational environments.

iii. Regional and Cross-Border Collaboration

Collaborative efforts across West Africa are also contributing to the strengthening of digital language ecosystems. Shared linguistic features among languages such as Yoruba and related varieties facilitate resource sharing, standardization efforts, and joint technological development. These initiatives align with broader continental strategies for language promotion (African Union 18–20).

iv. Open-Access Resources and Community-Driven Tools

The development of open-access corpora, digital lexicons, and language-learning applications represents a practical pathway toward sustainable inclusion. Community-driven projects that combine technological innovation with local participation provide replicable models for expanding language use in digital contexts (Emezue 5–6). These resources function as foundational infrastructures that support ongoing adaptation and visibility within the digital linguistic ecosystem.

Recommendations

Considering the findings of this study, which highlight both the efforts and challenges surrounding digital multilingualism in Nigeria, a multi-pronged approach is essential to foster a more inclusive digital linguistic environment. The following recommendations address the technological, institutional, and collaborative dimensions of digital language inclusion:

i. Develop Multilingual E-Government Platforms

The Nigerian government should prioritize the localization of digital public services by incorporating major and minority languages into federal and state e-governance portals. Key systems, including the National Identity Number (NIN) registration, tax platforms, health services, social welfare systems, and voter registration, should offer interface options in at least the three major Nigerian languages (Hausa, Yoruba, Igbo) and a rotating selection of five minority languages per geopolitical zone. A phased approach, such as modular localization, can allow incremental inclusion of language packs, supported by regular user feedback and uptake assessments.

ii. Strengthen Human Resource Capacity for Digital Language Work

Capacity-building initiatives should focus on training translators, voice-over artists, content localizers, and digital storytellers in indigenous languages. This effort requires collaboration between universities, language institutes, NGOs, and technology hubs, particularly in underserved rural areas. Programs should include certificate and diploma offerings in language technologies and translation studies, as well as community-based workshops targeting young adults. Such investments will help ensure a sustainable pipeline of skilled language practitioners who can support inclusive digital content development.

iii. Promote Public–Private Partnerships in Language Technology

Government agencies such as the National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) should be empowered to incentivize innovation through targeted grants, public procurement contracts, and tax reliefs for companies developing language technologies for Nigerian contexts. These may include voice assistants, speech-to-text systems, translation tools, and local-language chatbots. Stronger collaboration between tech startups, universities, and international language technology networks can accelerate the development and deployment of inclusive tools grounded in local linguistic ecologies.

iv. Institutionalize Multilingualism in National ICT Policy

A portion of national ICT funding at least 2% of digital infrastructure budgets should be earmarked for language localization and training programs. Additionally, language planning must become more decentralized, with state-level agencies and community-based organizations participating in the identification of priority languages and dialects for digital inclusion. This decentralization aligns with the ecological principle of recognizing and responding to local language environments (Haugen 326).

v. Support Language Activism and Inclusive Digital Advocacy

Digital language activists should broaden their advocacy beyond major languages to include endangered and marginalized tongues. This can be achieved through mentorship programs that connect grassroots creators with technologists, linguists, and designers, thereby strengthening community-led innovation. Activist networks should also contribute to crowdsourced digital archives, lexicons, and corpora for smaller languages, ensuring their representation in the digital domain.

vi. Advance Open-Source Language Technologies for Minority Languages

Language technologists and developers are encouraged to create and maintain open-source natural language processing (NLP) models that accommodate the structural and dialectal diversity of Nigerian languages. These models should adopt flexible orthographic standards where necessary and prioritize inclusive data collection from diverse speech communities. Efforts should be directed toward making tools for speech recognition, text-to-speech, and machine translation available for underrepresented languages.

These recommendations are consistent with global frameworks such as the UNESCO Guidelines for Multilingual E-Governance and the African Union's Language Policy Framework, both of which stress the importance of linguistic equity in digital development (UNESCO 2; African Union 12). Given Nigeria's vast linguistic landscape of over 500 indigenous languages, a deliberate commitment to digital language inclusion is not only a cultural imperative but also a democratic one. Through the synergy of grassroots activism, policy reform, and technological innovation, Nigeria can position itself as a pioneer in linguistic democracy within digital governance ensuring that no language is left behind in the digital age.

Conclusion

The analysis demonstrates that digital language movements in Nigeria are best understood as ecological interventions within an evolving socio-technological environment. In relation to the first objective, the study has shown that a range of digital initiatives, spanning social media advocacy, localized applications, and informal learning platforms function as emergent niches that extend the communicative domains of indigenous languages. These initiatives illustrate how digital spaces can be repurposed to support linguistic presence, visibility, and use beyond traditional domains.

With respect to the second objective, the findings highlight that the development of these digital niches is uneven and constrained by interrelated technological, political, and societal factors. Resource limitations, weak policy enforcement, and persistent digital inequalities create conditions that are only partially supportive of multilingual growth. From an ecological standpoint, such constraints inhibit the stability and scalability of language initiatives, preventing them from achieving sustained impact within the broader linguistic environment.

In addressing the third objective, the study finds that digital tools are not merely facilitating communication but are actively reshaping how indigenous languages are perceived and mobilized in national discourse. Through new forms of participation and representation, speakers are redefining the functional and symbolic roles of their languages in ways that align with Haugen's view of language as a dynamic system shaped by human activity. These developments suggest a gradual reconfiguration of Nigeria's linguistic ecology, in which digital environments play an increasingly central role. Nevertheless, the transition from localized innovation to systemic transformation remains incomplete. For digital language movements to produce lasting ecological change, supportive conditions must be institutionalized. This includes recognizing linguistic inclusion as a dimension of digital access, embedding multilingual considerations into ICT policy frameworks, and enabling participatory approaches to language planning that reflect the diversity of speech communities.

In sum, digital language movements in Nigeria reveal both the adaptive capacity of indigenous languages and the structural limits of their current environments. Their long-term significance will depend on the extent to which grassroots innovation is matched by sustained policy commitment, thereby allowing multilingual inclusion to move from emergent possibility to established practice within Nigeria's digital and national landscape.

WORKS CITED

Adegbija, Efurosibina. *Language Attitudes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Sociolinguistic Overview*. Multilingual Matters, 1994.

African Union. *Language Plan of Action for Africa*. African Union Commission, 2006.

Akinwale, Tunde. "Promoting Mother Tongue in Nigerian Education." *Journal of Language Policy in Africa*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2023, pp. 95–112.

Ayeomoni, Moses Omoniyi. "The Languages in Nigerian Socio-Political Domains: Features and Functions." *English Language Teaching*, vol. 5, no. 10, 2012, p. 12.

Bamgbose, Ayo. *Language and the Nation: The Language Question in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Edinburgh UP, 2011.

Bamgbose, Ayo. *Language and Exclusion: The Consequences of Language Policies in Africa*. LIT Verlag, 2000.

Bennett, W. Lance, and Alexandra Segerberg. *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics*. Cambridge UP, 2013.

Castells, Manuel. *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*. Polity Press, 2015.

Cunliffe, Daniel, David Morris, and Ceinwen Prys. "Investigating the Differential Use of Welsh in Young Speakers' Social Networks: A Comparison of Communication in Face-to-Face Settings, in Electronic Texts and on Social Networking Sites." *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, vol. 34, no. 5, 2013, pp. 413–429.

Egbokhare, Francis, and Gloria Edu-Bekoe. "Digital Marginality and Language Endangerment in Nigeria." *Journal of West African Languages*, vol. 45, no. 1, 2018, pp. 81–89.

Emezue, Chinenye. "#MotherTongueInSchools: A Digital Campaign Analysis." *Digital Education Review*, vol. 18, 2023, pp. 28–40.

Emezue, Chinenye, et al. "The IgboAPI Dataset: Empowering Igbo Language Technologies." *arXiv*, May 2024, pp. 1–6.

Emezue, Chris Chinenye. "Building NLP Tools for Low-Resource Languages: The Case of Igbo." *Proceedings of the Workshop on African Natural Language Processing*, Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 1–6.

Falola, Toyin. "Falola Calls for Software Development to Preserve Endangered African Languages." *Tribune Online*, 25 Oct. 2023.

Federal Ministry of Communications and Digital Economy. *National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (2020–2030)*. Government of Nigeria, 2020, pp. 12–15.

---. *National ICT Policy (Revised Edition)*. Government of Nigeria, 2024.

Federal Republic of Nigeria. *National Policy on Education*. 3rd ed., NERDC Press, 1998.

Haugen, Einar. "The Ecology of Language." *The Ecology of Language: Essays by Einar Haugen*, edited by Anwar S. Dil, Stanford UP, 1972, pp. 325–339.

Lee, Carmen. *Multilingualism Online*. Routledge, 2017.

Living Tongues Institute for Endangered Languages. "An Update on the Nigeria Campaign!" *Living Tongues Institute for Endangered Languages*.

Nnawuihe, Onyedikachi Damaris, et al. "Exploring Social Media as a Net Positive or Negative Influence on Nigerian Youth Culture and Identity." *West African Journal of Industrial and Academic Research*, vol. 27, no. 2, 2026, p. 158.

Ogbodo, Jude Nwakpoke, and Deming Guo. "Bridging the Digital Divide: A Comparative Study of Digital Literacy and Access in Rural Communities in China and Nigeria." *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, vol. 13, 2026.

Phillipson, Robert. *Linguistic Imperialism*. Oxford UP, 1992.

Postill, John. "Freedom Technologists and the New Protest Movements: A Theory of Protest Formulas." *Convergence: The International Journal of Research into New Media Technologies*, vol. 20, no. 4, 2014, pp. 402–418.

Reddit user. "Tl;Dr Do Not Let Your Language Die on Your Watch…" *Reddit*, r/NigerianFluency, 27 Aug. 2020.

Salawu, Abiodun. "Aláròyé Newspaper on Digital Platforms: A Study of Indigenous Language Journalism in Nigeria." *Journal of African Media Studies*, 2025.

Seargeant, Philip, and Caroline Tagg, editors. *The Language of Social Media: Identity and Community on the Internet*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.

Skutnabb-Kangas, Tove. *Linguistic Genocide in Education—Or Worldwide Diversity and Human Rights?* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2000.

Stroud, Christopher. "Linguistic Citizenship." *Working Papers in Urban Language & Literacies*, no. 208, 2018, pp. 3–5.

---. "Linguistic Citizenship as Utopia." *Multilingual Margins*, vol. 1, no. 2, 2015, pp. 20–34.

Tully, Melissa, and Brian Ekdale. "Sites of Play and Protest: Sudanese Youth and Social Media." *Television & New Media*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2014, pp. 176–193.

UNESCO. *Recommendation Concerning the Promotion and Use of Multilingualism and Universal Access to Cyberspace*. UNESCO, 2003.

World Bank. *Nigeria Digital Economy Diagnostic Report*. World Bank, 2019.

---. *Nigeria Digital Economy Household Survey*. World Bank, 2023.