journals.unizik.edu.ng/jcpl/index ISSN: 2736-0342
NNAMDI AZIKIWE UNIVERSITY, AWKA
JOURNAL OF COMMERCIAL AND PROPERTY LAW Vol. 12(4), September, 2025

AN APPRAISAL OF JUDICIAL PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN NIGERIA: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES

Nwamaka Adaora IGUH*
Rapuluchukwu NDUKA**
Linda Ogechukwu MOH***

Abstract
Human rights in Nigeria are a complex issue with the Constitution as the grundnorm guaranteeing fundamental rights of people and with several other laws and ratified treaties enacted by Nigeria also aimed at protecting human rights. However, despite these protections, Nigeria faces various issues and challenges resulting to continuous violations due to various implementation gaps. The aim of this research is to appraise the role of the Nigerian judiciary in protecting human rights nationally and the research offers perspectives on its issues and challenges. It examines the institutions and laws responsible for the protection of human rights. Key international human rights instruments incorporated into domestic law are also reviewed. Through doctrinal research methodology, the strengths and limitations of the judiciary in enforcing civil, political, economic, social and cultural rights are analyzed. Key issues identified include delays in delivering justice, lack of access to justice, lack of awareness of human rights provisions, insufficient judicial training and reluctance to adopt proper interpretations. Comparisons are drawn with effective regional and global practices. It concludes that although the judiciary has advanced human rights in certain areas, reforms are necessary to address existing constraints and enable it to more effectively fulfill its protective role. The study further recommends measures such as establishing a more efficient enforcement procedure to tackle the identified issues and challenges.

Keywords: Human rights, Fundamental rights, Judicial protection, Nigeria

1. Introduction

Human rights are fundamental entitlements inherent to every individual within a sovereign state, and they can be enforced through the mechanisms established by that state. In Nigeria, these rights are outlined in various legal frameworks, most notably the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (as amended).[1] The rights enshrined in the Constitution are universal and apply equally to all citizens. It is essential to recognize that exercising one's rights should not infringe upon the rights of others. This principle emphasizes the need for effective legal systems and enforcement mechanisms dedicated to safeguarding the human rights of every individual.

The legislative authority in Nigeria, represented by the National Assembly, plays a crucial role in defining and protecting these rights by aligning national laws with internationally recognized treaties and conventions. The judiciary interprets these constitutional rights, adjudicating cases of violations and clarifying the boundaries within which individuals may exercise their rights. Meanwhile, the executive branch is responsible for enforcing sanctions against violations and ensuring accountability for human rights breaches.

* **Nwamaka Adaora IGUH**, LLB (Hons), BL, LLM, PhD, Professor of Law, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Email: zinachidi2014@gmail.com. Phone No: 08036688518.
** **Rapuluchukwu NDUKA**, LLB (Hons), BL, LLM, PhD, Senior Lecturer, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State. Email: rapulunduka@gmail.com. Phone No: 08103692233
***Linda Ogechukwu MOH**, LLB (Hons), BL, LLM. Postgraduate student, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State. Email: lindanwafor969@gmail.com. Phone No: 08065108924,
[1] Chapter IV of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria guarantees citizens' fundamental rights in sections 33 to 46.

Against this backdrop, the judiciary stands as the last line of defense in the protection of human rights in Nigeria. This study therefore undertakes an appraisal of judicial protection of human rights in Nigeria, highlighting the issues and challenges that undermine its role, while also proposing reforms aimed at strengthening its capacity to safeguard these fundamental rights.

2. Human Rights

Human rights are universal, fundamental moral claims that belong to all individuals, regardless of caste, creed, nationality, or any other status.[2] These rights are inalienable and essential for a meaningful life, advocating fairness, equality, freedom, and respect. They are crucial for societal betterment, helping to eliminate practices like injustice, exploitation, and discrimination. Common human rights include freedom from discrimination, the right to life, equality before the law, personal security, education, freedom of thought, and the right to free movement.[3]Sepaha emphasizes that human rights are all-inclusive and vital for genuine living, applicable to everyone without discrimination.[4] Similarly, Naveen notes that human rights are inherent entitlements for all, transcending nationality, gender, religion, and other statuses.[5]

Two main approaches to human rights emerge: the traditional approach and the socialist approach. The traditional approach is anchored in natural law. Thinkers like Thomas Hobbes proposed a hypothetical social contract where free individuals form governing institutions for the common good, sacrificing some liberties for protection.[6] John Locke expanded on this, arguing that a government's failure to secure rights justifies its removal, a concept echoed by Jean-Jacques Rousseau in "The Social Contract."[7] Both Hobbes and Locke advocate for rights that are universal and inherent to all humans, irrespective of nationality or gender. Arnold Lien describes these as universal rights that attach to individuals everywhere, including the right to life, liberty, property, freedom of thought, and freedom of expression.[8]

In contrast, the socialist approach posits that the economic infrastructure determines the nature of rights within a society. This perspective argues that rights in a bourgeois society serve to perpetuate the exploitation of the working class by the dominant class. It contends that human rights cannot have divine content derived from natural law but are instead shaped by material conditions. The socialist synthesis challenges the traditional view, asserting that human rights are potentialities that depend on societal conditions for their realization as social realities.[9]

3. Judicial Protection of Human Rights

One of the vital ways to safeguard human rights is by preserving the pivotal role of the judiciary. The standards and precedents established by courts significantly improve people's lives and facilitate the achievement of governmental goals. These judicial standards foster a better

[2]<https://keydifferences.com/difference-between-fundamental-rights-and-human-rights.html> accessed 13 May 2025.
[3] Ibid
[4]<https://lawcolloquy.com/publications/blog/distinction-between-fundamental-rights-and-human-rights/149> accessed 13 May 2025.
[5]<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/human-rights-vs-fundamental-understanding-difference-aysha-naveen-l4src> accessed 13 May 2025.
[6]O Eze, *Human Rights in Africa* (Lagos: Nigeria Law Publications Ltd; 1988); M Cranston, 'What are Human Rights? (New York; Taplinger, 1973) Chapter I; C A Oputa, *Human Rights in the Legal and Political Culture of Nigeria* (Lagos: Nigerian Law Publication Ltd, 1988).
[7] ibid
[8]A J Lien, A *Fragment of Thoughts Concerning the Nature and Fulfillment of Human Rights* (West Port Greenwood Press Publishers, 1973) pp /44-5.
[9] O Gye-wado, 'A Comparative Analysis of International Framework for Enforcement of Human Right in Africa and West Europe' (1990) 2RADIC P.188.

understanding of the relationship between citizens and their government, as well as among the international community.[10]

The inherent value of human life is best represented by the recognition and protection of fundamental rights. Enabling individuals to enjoy these rights is essential for preserving their humanity and dignity. Life loses meaning if people cannot exercise their natural rights or freely express their political opinions. Moreover, individuals must feel secure within their communities; without a legal system actively protecting their rights, these rights become meaningless.[11] The judiciary, as the guardian of the law, plays a crucial role in upholding and defending the fundamental rights and freedoms that belong to every human being. By ensuring the integrity and independence of the judicial system, we strengthen the safeguards against the erosion of human rights. Preserving the judiciary's preeminent position is thus vital for the long-term protection and advancement of human rights.

Lord Lester summarized the progression of human rights in the Commonwealth, noting the reaffirmation of the Bangalore Principles at the Commonwealth Judicial Colloquium in 1998.[12] These principles provide a robust framework for safeguarding judicial impartiality and integrity, essential for equitable justice. The reaffirmation of these principles reflects a commitment to strengthening judicial independence and protecting fundamental rights across member states. However, many Commonwealth countries have yet to sign or ratify International Covenants on Human Rights, and their judicial systems often lack sufficient independence from the executive branch. Upholding the Bangalore Principles is crucial for embedding the rule of law and judicial independence in these contexts.[13]

The principles outline several key tenets:

1. **Universality of Human Rights**: Fundamental human rights and freedoms are universal, expressed in constitutional and legal systems worldwide and anchored in international human rights codes. Their universality derives from the moral principle of personal autonomy and human dignity, overseen by the judiciary.[14]
2. **Judicial Duty**: An independent, impartial, and qualified judiciary must interpret and apply national laws harmoniously with international human rights codes. This requires a well-trained legal profession to assist in developing common law consistent with international standards.[15]
3. **Public Law Protections**: Fundamental human rights comprise public law in every nation, protecting individuals and minorities against the misuse of power. Judges play a critical role in ensuring that these rights are realized in daily life.[16]
4. **Integral Rights**: Civil and political rights are indivisible from economic, social, and cultural rights. While the latter are primarily the responsibility of legislative and executive branches, they still serve as vital references for judges in interpreting laws, even when not justiciable.[17]

[10]F Abul-Ethem, 'The Role of the Judiciary in the Protection of Human Rights and Development: A Middle Eastern Perspective. <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1883&context=ilj> accessed 24 March 2025
[11] ibid
[12]A Lester, 'The Judicial Protection of Human Rights in the Commonwealth'. *Journal of Commonwealth Law and Legal Education*, (2001) 1(1), 3–12.< https://sci-hub.se/https://doi.org/10.1080/14760400108522884> accessed 25 March 2025
[13] ibid
[14] ibid
[15] ibid
[16] ibid
[17] ibid

5. **Governmental Duty**: The legislative and executive branches must ensure equal protection under the law and effective access to justice. This includes providing adequate funding for courts and legal aid for those unable to afford legal services. Compliance with international human rights instruments is essential for fulfilling their obligations.[18]
6. **Public Confidence**: Equal justice requires a competent and independent judiciary that enjoys public confidence. Selection mechanisms for judges must ensure integrity, ability, and independence, reflecting the views of the existing judiciary.[19]
7. **Judicial Review**: Access to the courts is indispensable, especially during public emergencies when basic human rights are most at risk. Courts must be vigilant in their protection during these times.[20]

The judiciary plays a critical role in upholding and enforcing human rights through established principles. As the protector of the law, it is responsible for interpreting national laws and constitutions in alignment with international standards. Judges must ensure that the promises of human rights protection are fulfilled in everyday life, providing access to justice even during emergencies. The independence, impartiality, and integrity of the judiciary are vital for maintaining public trust and consistently upholding human rights, regardless of political pressures. Ultimately, the judiciary serves as a steadfast safeguard for the fundamental rights and freedoms of all individuals.

4. Legal Framework for Judicial Protection of Human Rights in Nigeria

4.1 The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended)

Chapter Four of the Nigerian Constitution outlines the fundamental human rights of citizens, encompassing Sections 33 to 46. In total, there are fourteen sections dedicated to these rights, affirming that every recognized citizen of Nigeria is entitled to them. The Nigerian judiciary holds the power to enforce these rights, alongside the provisions established by the United Nations, which include the Universal Declaration of Human Rights outlining thirty universal human rights.[21]

The constitution is clearly the supreme law of the land and serves as the fundamental norm, with its authority rarely questioned.[22] The effectiveness of ratified human rights treaties, however, hinges on their incorporation into domestic law. According to section 12(1) of the 1999 Constitution, any treaty enacted into Nigerian law is subject to the scope defined by the legislature.[23]

The Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedures) Rules 2009, established under Section 46(3) of the Constitution, provide the framework for enforcing human rights in Nigeria. Individuals who believe their rights have been violated may seek redress from the High Court, either at the State or Federal level.[24]

The fundamental rights enumerated in the Constitution include:

[18] ibid
[19] ibid
[20] ibid
[21] Fundamental Rights of a Nigerian and Universal rights. <https://nigerian-constitution.com/fundamental-human-rights-of-a-nigerian-and-universal-human-rights/> accessed 08 January 2025
[22] *General Sanni Abacha v Ganni Fawehinmi* (2000), 6 NWLR 228 (Nig.)
[23] M E Nwoch and N U Aja, 'Review of Constraints and Solutions to the Enforcement of Human Rights in Nigeria' *NAU.JCPL* (2024) 11 (2).
[24] D Adu and E Randle, 'Fundamental Human Rights under the 1999 Constitution' (As Amended).<https://www.mondaq.com/nigeria/human-rights/1221232/fundamental-human-rights-under-the-1999-constitution-as-amended> accessed 08 January 2025

1. **Right to Life (Section 33)**: Every person has the right to life, which may be lawfully deprived under specific circumstances, such as execution of a court sentence or in cases of self-defense.
2. **Right to Dignity (Section 34)**: Individuals are entitled to dignity, prohibiting torture, slavery, and forced labor.
3. **Right to Personal Liberty (Section 35)**: Personal liberty is guaranteed except under certain conditions, such as lawful arrest or for the welfare of minors.
4. **Right to Fair Hearing (Section 36)**: This right ensures that individuals receive a fair trial and are presumed innocent until proven guilty.
5. **Right to Privacy (Section 37)**: Citizens have the right to privacy in their homes and communications.
6. **Right to Freedom of Thought, Conscience, and Religion (Section 38)**: This encompasses the freedom to change religion and practice beliefs.
7. **Right to Freedom of Expression (Section 39)**: Individuals can express opinions and share information, subject to certain limitations.
8. **Right to Peaceful Assembly and Association (Section 40)**: Citizens can assemble and associate freely, including joining political parties and trade unions.
9. **Right to Freedom of Movement (Section 41)**: Every Nigerian citizen can move and reside freely throughout the country, with specific exceptions.
10. **Right to Freedom from Discrimination**: No citizen should face discrimination based on community, ethnicity, gender, religion, or political opinion.

The Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules 2009 delineate the legal framework for enforcing these rights.[25] Under Order 2, Rule 1, individuals who believe their rights are being violated can petition the court in the relevant jurisdiction. The 1999 Constitution grants the High Court jurisdiction over fundamental rights actions, although its authority is limited to matters within specific subject-matter jurisdiction, a crucial aspect of protecting citizens' rights in Nigeria.

4.2 The National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) Act

The NHRC (Amendment) Act of 2010 has significantly enhanced the independence and authority of the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) in Nigeria. This amendment expands the Commission's mandate, allowing it to promote and protect human rights, investigate alleged violations, and enforce decisions more effectively.[26] A key aspect of the Amendment Act is its provision for vetting legislation at all levels to ensure compliance with human rights norms. Under this amended framework, the NHRC is tasked with various responsibilities:

1. **Promotion and Protection of Rights**: The NHRC is responsible for matters related to the promotion and protection of human rights as enshrined in the Nigerian Constitution, the United Nations Charter, and other international human rights instruments, including conventions on civil and political rights, economic rights, and the rights of women and children.[27]
2. **Monitoring and Investigation**: The Commission monitors and investigates allegations of human rights violations in Nigeria. It is empowered to make recommendations to the federal government regarding prosecution and other necessary actions based on its findings.
3. **Assistance to Victims**: The NHRC assists victims of human rights violations, seeking redress and remedies on their behalf.
4. **Policy Formulation**: The Commission undertakes studies related to human rights and aids federal, state, and local governments in formulating policies that guarantee these rights.

[25]UNHCR, Nigeria: Fundamental Rights (Enforcement Procedure) Rules, 2009 accessed 25 May 2024
[26]National Human Rights Commission <https://www.nigeriarights.gov.ng/about/nhrc-mandate.html> accessed 08 January 2025
[27] ibid

5. **Reporting**: It is mandated to publish and submit periodic reports on the state of human rights promotion and protection in Nigeria to various government branches, including the President and the National Assembly.
6. **Public Enlightenment**: The NHRC organizes seminars, workshops, and conferences—both locally and internationally—to promote awareness of human rights issues.
7. **Collaboration**: The Commission liaises with local and international organizations to advance human rights promotion and protection.
8. **International Participation**: The NHRC participates in international activities related to human rights, enhancing its global engagement.
9. **Library and Data Collection**: It maintains a library, collects data, and disseminates information on human rights.
10. **Complaint Investigation**: The NHRC receives and investigates complaints regarding human rights violations, making determinations as necessary.
11. **Legislation Review**: The Commission examines existing laws and proposes new bills to ensure they align with human rights standards.
12. **Guidelines Publication**: It prepares and publishes guidelines to prevent violations of human rights in its operations.
13. **Public Discussion Facilitation**: The NHRC promotes public understanding and discussions of human rights issues.
14. **Research and Education Programs**: It undertakes educational initiatives to promote human rights and coordinates programs at various governmental levels.
15. **Reporting Compliance Actions**: The NHRC reports on actions necessary for compliance with international human rights standards, whether initiated by itself or at the request of the government.
16. **Legal Referrals**: It refers cases requiring prosecution to the relevant Attorney General when appropriate.
17. **Conciliation**: The Commission may act as a conciliator between parties involved in complaints.
18. **Additional Functions**: The NHRC is empowered to carry out any other functions necessary for fulfilling its mandate under the Act.

These expanded roles position the NHRC as a crucial entity in the fight for human rights in Nigeria, enhancing its capacity to address violations and promote awareness effectively.

4.3 The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights Act

The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights Act domesticates the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights. It allows individuals to initiate proceedings in Nigerian courts for violations of the rights outlined in the Charter.[28]

The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights outlines the rights that all State Parties are obligated to uphold.[29] The Charter has gained strength due to the increasing recognition in Africa that human-centered and sustainable development cannot be achieved without respecting human rights, democracy, and the rule of law.[30]

[28] R Gittleman. 'The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights: A Legal Analysis' <https://www.corteidh.or.cr/tablas/4558.pdf> accessed 13 January 2025

[29] The enumeration of Rights contained in the Charter, Chapter I, part I of the African Charter. Cited in I A B El-Sheikh, Preliminary Remarks on the Right to a Fair Trial Under the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights. <http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/fairtrial/wrft-bad.htm> Accessed 14/01/2025

[30] Paragraph 10 and 11, Declaration of the Assembly of the O.A.U. on the political and economic situation in Africa and the fundamental changes taking place in the world, 11 July 1990, Addis Ababa. El- Sheikh ibid.

The African Charter includes a communications (complaints) procedure designed to protect the rights outlined in the Charter in cases of violations. Through this procedure, a State Party can file a complaint against another State Party if it alleges a human rights violation.[31] Additionally, the procedure allows for complaints from sources other than States. According to the Commission's Rules of Procedure, these sources can include individual victims of alleged violations, someone acting on their behalf, or organizations.[32]

This communications procedure provides individuals with an extra measure to claim their rights when they have been unable to do so at the national level. Therefore, the procedure requires that all local remedies be exhausted before bringing a case to the Commission. Furthermore, it permits the Commission to investigate situations involving serious or widespread human rights violations and to make appropriate recommendations to the Assembly of the O.A.U.[33] Article 60 of the Charter clearly states that the implementation of the Charter shall be guided by, among other things, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other instruments adopted by the United Nations in the field of human rights.[34]

5. Institutional Framework for Judicial Protection of Human Rights in Nigeria

There are several institutions responsible for the judicial protection of human rights in Nigeria. However, the writers will focus on some key institutions discussed as follows:

5.1 National Human Rights Commission (NHRC)

This is the statutory body that promotes and protects human rights in Nigeria. It can investigate allegations and file lawsuits on behalf of victims. The National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) was established by the National Human Rights Commission Act of 1995, as amended by the NHRC Act 2010.[35] This formation aligns with United Nations Resolution,[36] which urges member states to establish human rights institutions to promote and protect human rights.[37] As an independent body in Nigeria, the NHRC plays a crucial role in advancing and safeguarding human rights across the nation.[38] The commission investigates complaints of human rights violations, conducts educational programs and advocacy initiatives, and recommends policy and legal reforms to the government.[39]

5.2 Legal Aid Council of Nigeria

The Legal Aid Council of Nigeria (LACON) plays a vital role in the protection and promotion of human rights within the country. Established by the Legal Aid Act of 1976, LACON has become a crucial institution that ensures access to justice and safeguards the fundamental rights of marginalized and indigent individuals in Nigeria.

One of LACON's primary functions is to offer free legal representation to individuals who cannot afford the services of a private lawyer. This has been particularly impactful in cases involving human rights violations. LACON has also demonstrated its commitment to protecting the rights of vulnerable groups in Nigerian society. In the case of Registered Trustees of the Socio-Economic Rights and Accountability Project (SERAP) v. Federal Republic of Nigeria (2009),[40] the Council joined as a co-claimant, advocating for the rights of children and youth to quality education. The

[31] Articles 47 to 54 of the African Charter.
[32] El- Sheikh (n.10)
[33] ibid
[34] ibid
[35] Cap N46 LFN 2004 Vol. II. It came into effect on 27th September, 1995.
[36] O W Igwe, 'Preliminary Studies in Human Rights Law', (Ring and Favolit Ltd., Lagos, 2002) p. 1 – 3
[37] A/RES/217 A (III)
[38] C O Joseph-Asoh and N H Worluh-Okolie,
[39] S O Nnamani, 'Institutional Mechanisms for Human Rights Protection in Nigeria: An Appraisal' Journal of Int'l Law 7 Juris <https://ajol-filejournals_479_articles_82393_submission_proof_82393-5653-197887-1-10-20121019%20(1).p
[40] ECW/CCJ/JUD/07/10

court's ruling in favor of SERAP and LACON set an important precedent in safeguarding the educational rights of marginalized communities.

The Council has been at the forefront of addressing cases of unlawful detention and arbitrary deprivation of liberty, which are often linked to human rights abuses. Beyond individual cases, LACON has worked to expand access to justice for marginalized communities. The Legal Aid Council of Nigeria has emerged as a crucial institution in the protection and promotion of human rights in the country. Through its provision of free legal representation, strategic litigation, and advocacy for vulnerable groups, LACON has played a pivotal role in ensuring that the fundamental rights of all Nigerians are upheld and enforced. The examples of its interventions in landmark cases demonstrate the Council's unwavering commitment to safeguarding human rights and advancing access to justice.

5.3 The Courts

The judiciary is a critical arm of government alongside the executive and legislature. While the legislature enacts laws and the executive implements them, the judiciary interprets these laws per the Constitution, adhering to the principle of separation of powers vital for any democracy. The Nigerian Constitution safeguards the independence of the judiciary and assigns it the duty of administering justice, prohibiting any legislation that could undermine its authority.[41]

According to Section 6 of the Constitution:

1. Judicial powers of the Federation are vested in established courts.
2. Judicial powers of states are similarly vested in their respective courts.
3. Only the courts specified in the Constitution are recognized as superior courts of record in Nigeria.
4. The National Assembly or state assemblies can create courts with subordinate jurisdiction.
5. This section pertains to the Supreme Court, Court of Appeal, Federal High Court, High Court of the Federal Capital Territory, State High Courts, and various specialized courts.

Both regular courts (such as High Courts and the Supreme Court) and specialized tribunals, including the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, address human rights matters. The 1999 Constitution designates the courts as the primary protectors of human rights, particularly in Section 46(2), which grants the High Court original jurisdiction over human rights cases. This empowers both the Supreme Court and High Courts to issue directives, orders, or writs for the protection of fundamental rights.

For instance, in *Dr. Raymond Osemenam v Federal University of Technology, Akure,*[42] the Federal High Court ordered the university to pay 50,000 Naira for violating the applicant's right to a fair hearing. Similarly, in *Jimoh v Attorney General of the Federation*, the court awarded 100,000 Naira for unlawful arrest and abuse by police.[43] In *Jim-Jaja v C.O.P. Rivers State*,[44] the High Court affirmed its original jurisdiction under Section 46(2) to hear applications related to human rights.

The Role of Nigerian Courts in Upholding Human Rights

a) **Interpreting Human Rights Provisions**: Nigerian courts have been pivotal in interpreting human rights provisions in the Constitution and related laws. In *Ogugu v. The State*,[45] the

[41]CFRN 1999 Section 4 (8)
[42](Unreported) Suit No: FHC/AK//CC/86/98
[43]D B Antia, 'Analysing the Impact of Judicial Activism on Human Rights Protection: A Comparative Review of Nigerian and Indian Jurisprudence' *Indian Journal of Law and Legal Research*. Volume VI Issue III | ISSN: 2582-8878 Accessed 08 January 2025
[44](2013) 6 NWLR (Pt. 1350) 225
[45](1994) 9 NWLR (pt. 366) 1

Supreme Court confirmed that the fundamental rights guaranteed in the Constitution are justiciable and enforceable by the courts. In *Chief Gani Fawehinmi v General Sani Abacha*,[46] the Supreme Court ruled that the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, incorporated into Nigerian law, is part of domestic law and can be invoked directly in court, empowering citizens to seek redress for rights violations.

b) **Adjudicating Cases of Rights Violations**: Nigerian courts have addressed a range of human rights violations, including arbitrary arrests and unlawful detention. They have also tackled economic, social, and cultural rights issues. In *Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company*,[47] the Federal High Court ruled that gas flaring by the oil company violated the applicants' right to a clean environment as enshrined in the African Charter.

c) **Issuing Writs and Orders**: Nigerian courts utilize various writs to safeguard human rights. They have issued writs of habeas corpus to compel authorities to produce detainees and secure their release from unlawful detention. In *Fawehinmi v Abacha*,[48] the Supreme Court granted such a writ, ordering the release of an unlawfully detained individual. The courts also issue injunctions to prevent human rights violations and compel government or private entities to take appropriate actions. For example, in *Registered Trustees of the Socio-Economic Rights and Accountability Project (SERAP) v Federal Republic of Nigeria*,[49] the Federal High Court restrained the government from demolishing homes of urban slum dwellers without providing alternative accommodation.

Through these mechanisms, Nigerian courts play an essential role in upholding and enforcing human rights, ensuring justice and accountability within the legal framework established by the Constitution.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusion

In conclusion, the legal and institutional framework for the judicial protection of human rights in Nigeria is essential for safeguarding individuals' fundamental rights. The Constitution provides a strong foundation, enshrining human rights and aligning with international standards. However, the effectiveness of this framework depends on the independence and integrity of the judiciary, which must be shielded from political interference and corruption. The establishment of specialized human rights courts and the strengthening of existing legal frameworks are crucial to ensure that human rights are not just aspirational but also enforceable. Additionally, capacity building for judicial officers and increased public awareness about rights and legal mechanisms are vital for empowering citizens to seek redress for violations.

Furthermore, collaboration with civil society organizations can enhance monitoring and advocacy efforts, fostering a culture of human rights. By addressing the gaps between law and practice and committing to implementing international obligations, Nigeria can create a robust framework for judicial protection that upholds dignity, equality, and justice. This, in turn, would contribute to the overall development and cohesion of society.

6.2 Recommendations

To strengthen the judicial protection of human rights in Nigeria, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. **Enhancement of Judicial Independence**: Reform appointment and tenure processes for judges to safeguard them from political interference.

[46][1996] 9 NWLR (Pt 475) 710
[47](2005) FHC/B/CS/53/05 AHRLR 151
[48][1996] 9 NWLR (Pt 475) 710
[49](2009) ECW/CCJ/JUD/07/10

2. **Capacity Building and Training**: Provide ongoing training in human rights law for judicial officers and legal practitioners to enhance their interpretative skills.
3. **Public Awareness Campaigns**: Initiate educational campaigns to inform citizens about their rights and available mechanisms for seeking redress.
4. **Strengthening Legal Frameworks**: Review and amend existing laws to align with international human rights standards, enhancing protections against discrimination.
5. **Establishment of Specialized Human Rights Courts**: Create courts dedicated to human rights issues to ensure efficient and effective legal proceedings.
6. **Enhancing Access to Justice**: Implement measures to improve access to legal services for marginalized groups, including legal aid and community legal clinics.
7. **Collaboration with Civil Society Organizations**: Engage with civil society organizations specializing in human rights advocacy to facilitate monitoring and public education.
8. **Implementation of International Commitments**: Incorporate international human rights treaty provisions into domestic law and ensure compliance through effective monitoring.

By implementing these recommendations, Nigeria can enhance its judicial protection of human rights, fostering a legal environment that upholds human dignity, equality, and justice. The experiences of India, Canada, and Germany provide valuable insights to inform reforms in Nigeria, contributing to a more robust human rights framework essential for the country's development and social cohesion.